ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit Risk and Scrutiny
DATE	25 September 2019
EXEMPT	No
CONFIDENTIAL	No
REPORT TITLE	Scottish Public Services Ombudsman Decisions and Inspector of Cremations Complaint Decisions
REPORT NUMBER	CUS/19/326
DIRECTOR	Andy MacDonald
CHIEF OFFICER	Jacqui McKenzie
REPORT AUTHOR	Lucy McKenzie
TERMS OF REFERENCE	6.9

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report provides information on all Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) and Inspector of Cremations decisions made in relation to Aberdeen City Council since the last reporting cycle to provide assurance to Committee that complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund applications are being handled appropriately.

2. RECOMMENDATION(S)

2.1 It is recommended that Committee notes the details of the report.

3. BACKGROUND

3.1 A report detailing all Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) and/or Inspector of Cremations decisions relating to Aberdeen City Council is submitted to Audit Risk and Scrutiny Committee each reporting cycle. This is to provide assurance that complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund decisions are being handled appropriately. The last report on this matter was submitted to the 26 June 2019 Committee.

Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) Complaint Decisions

- 3.2 The Scottish Complaints Handling Procedure (CHP) followed by Aberdeen City Council is outlined by the SPSO. Details of the CHP can be accessed at www.aberdeencity.gov.uk/complaints
- 3.3 There are two SPSO decision relating to Aberdeen City Council complaints to notify the Committee of. One complaint was not upheld by the SPSO and the other was upheld with recommendations made. Please refer to Appendix A for further information.

<u>Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) Scottish Welfare Fund</u> Review Decisions

- 3.5 The Scottish Welfare Fund is delivered by Local Councils across Scotland and offers two types of grants Crisis Grants and Community Care Grants. Further information is available at https://www.aberdeencity.gov.uk/services/benefits-and-advice/apply-scottish-welfare-fund
- 3.6 There has been one SPSO Second Tier Review in relation to Aberdeen City Council Scottish Welfare Fund application decisions since the last reporting period. The council's decision remained unchanged as the SPSO agreed that the application was for an item excluded under the fund. Please refer to Appendix B for further information.

Inspector of Cremations Decisions

3.7 The Inspector of Cremations responds to complaints or queries from the public about cremations. There have been no decisions by the Inspector of Cremations in relation to Aberdeen City Council cremations to date.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

Category	Risk	Low (L), Medium (M), High (H)	Mitigation
Financial	Each time a complaint escalates it is more costly to the council then the previous stage due to the effort involved, therefore financially it is in the council's best interest to resolve complaints early in the process. There is also a risk that the council may be required to undertake additional actions as a result of an SPSO decision, including financial compensation.	L	The complaint handling procedure encourages frontline resolution whenever possible and there is guidance and training in place to support staff in effective complaint handling. The financial benefit of early resolution is highlighted to responding officers in training.
Legal	There are no legal risks associated with this report.	N/A	Not applicable
Reputational	Compliance with the Complaints Handling Procedure is audited by Audit Scotland. Noncompliance carries reputational risk. Customer perception of the council could also be negatively impacted if complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund applications are not handled correctly.	L	There is a centralised Customer Feedback Team responsible for ensuring that complaints are being handled consistently and appropriately across the council. Staff within the Scottish Welfare Fund Team receive comprehensive training to ensure applications are handled correctly and there is a robust procedure in place to review decision making when necessary.
Employee	Staff morale may be lowered as a result of a negative outcome of a SPSO decision.	L	Whilst it is not pleasant to receive a complaint, officers are encouraged to view complaints in a positive

			light, as a learning point going forwards.
Customer	There is a risk to the council's relationship with customers if a complaint or a Scottish Welfare Fund application is not handled correctly.	L	Support in complaint handling is available to responding officers through a variety of methods. In addition, all Stage 2 responses are also quality assured to ensure that responses are appropriate. Officers responsible for Scottish Welfare Fund applications receive full training to ensure they have the necessary knowledge to undertake assessments.
Environment	There are no environmental risks associated with this report	N/A	Not applicable
Technology	There are no technological risks associated with this report.	N/A	Not applicable

7. OUTCOMES

The proposals in this report have no impact on the LOIP.

Design Principles of Target Operating Model					
	Impact of Report				
Customer Service Design	The report supports a focus on the delivery of customer centric services through the scrutiny of service delivery to customers. The organisation should look to solve the core issue which led to the complaint and learn from the outcome so to reduce the potential for more / similar complaints. This leads to an improvement in customer service delivery and a reduction in time spent on handling and investigating repeat complaints, which can be a lengthy process for those involved.				

Organisational Design	The report focuses on complaints outcomes which provide rich customer insight for the organisation to act upon to help transform service delivery.
Governance	The report ensures transparency around complaint and Scottish Welfare Fund application handling and provides assurances that informed decisions are being made.
Workforce	The outcomes of SPSO decisions are fed back to the relevant staff. This includes both upheld and not upheld decisions to engage staff and ensure they are fully informed of outcomes. The information is also used to inform changes in working practices and training provision for staff to improve their experience as well as that of the customer.
Process Design	Processes may be redesigned as a result of lessons learnt from a complaint or an SPSO decision to better meet the needs of customers.
Technology	Complaints data can help to inform decisions around the use of technology as it provides insight into the customer experience of accessing services digitally.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	Not required
Data Protection Impact Assessment	Not required
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable.

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

N/A

10. APPENDICES (if applicable)

Appendix A – Complaint Details and Subsequent SPSO Recommendations Appendix B - Scottish Welfare Fund SPSO Review Decisions

11. REPORT AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS

Lucy McKenzie Senior Customer Experience Officer LucyMcKenzie@aberdeencity.gov.uk 01224 346976

Appendix A - Complaint Details and Subsequent Recommendations

Complaint Received Date	SPSO Decision Date	Complaints Investigated by the SPSO	Cluster	SPSO Decision	SPSO Recommendations	Date Implemented
11 December 2017	19 August 2019	The Council have unreasonably failed to take action over bins being stored on Crown	Operations and Protective Services	Complaint upheld	The Council should apologise for the failures in relation to record keeping and providing evidence of their actions.	Implemented w/c 16 Sept 19
		Street.			2) The Council should make a new offer to engage with the complainant in order to identify solutions to the bins storage issue.	Implemented w/c 16 Sept 19
					3) The Council should consult with residents and inform them of the results.	implemented by 19 Oct 19
					4) The Council should review the bins on Crown Street to identify and remove any that are unwanted or unused.	To be implemented by 19 Oct 19
					5) The Council should carry out and record an assessment of whether Crown Street would be suitable for communal bins.	To be implemented by 19 Oct 19
					6) The Council need to ensure that complaint responses are based on contemporaneous written evidence, not only on unsupported staff recollections. Feedback to be provided in a supportive manner to complaints handling staff that complaint responses must reflect the available evidence, or lack of it.	Implemented w/c 16 Sept 19

Complaint Received Date	SPSO Decision Date	Complaints Investigated by the SPSO	Cluster	SPSO Decision	SPSO Recommendations	Date Implemented
15 November 2017	Provision al decision 12 August 2019. Final Decision yet to be received.	1) The restrictions put in place in relation to the complainant's son were unreasonable (not upheld) 2) information about the complainant's son was inappropriately shared with a health visitor (not upheld) 3) the Council did not respond to your complaint appropriately (not upheld)	Integrated Children's and Family Services	Complaint not upheld	None	Not applicable

Appendix B – Scottish Welfare Fund SPSO Review Decisions

Crisis Grant Application Received Date	Application Type	Aberdeen City Council 1st Tier Review Decision Date	SPSO 2 nd Tier Review Decision Date	SPSO Decision	Additional SPSO Feedback	Date Implemented
31 July 2019	Crisis Grant	5 August 2019	9 August 2019	Aberdeen City Council decision unchanged	None	Not applicable